

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,188	12/18/2001	Naoyuki Koyama	511.40998X00	7930
Antonelli Terry Stout & Kraus Suite 1800			EXAMINER	
			ELEY, TIMOTHY V	
1300 North Seventeenth Street Arlington, VA 22209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H .		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/018,188	KOYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy V. Eley	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replayed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	March 2007.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.	:	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-11 and 27-34</u> is/are pending in t	he application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) 1,3-11 and 27-34 are subject to rest	riction and/or election require	ement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	un priority under 35 LLS C. 8	119(a) (d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	in priority under 33 0.3.0. 9	119(a)-(u) 01 (1).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		unlication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•		
application from the International Burea	•	occord in and reasons conge	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	` ','	eceived.	
	,		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - Species I: An organic polymer selected from the group consisting of poly(meth)acrylic acid ammonium salts(claim 31).
 - Species II: An organic polymer selected from the group consisting of poly(meth)acrylic acid derivatives(claim 32).
 - Species III: A CMP abrasive adapted to polish an oxide insulating film(claim 33).
 - Species IV: A CMP abrasive adapted to polish a silicon oxide film(claim 34), which is not necessarily an insulating film.

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, claim 1 is generic. Applicant must make an election between species I and II, and an election between species III and IV.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The

Art Unit: 3724

species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of the species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such

Art Unit: 3724

evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

Application/Control Number: 10/018,188

Art Unit: 3724

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 5

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V. Eley whose telephone number is 571-272-4506. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,188

Art Unit: 3724

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy V. Eley/ Timothy V Eley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724